

# MOST

Media Oriented Systems Transport

Multimedia and Control  
Networking Technology

Diagnostic Protocols Adaptation  
Specification

Rev 1.0  
11/2008

**MOSTCO CONFIDENTIAL**

See page 3 for the terms of disclosure



## **Legal Notice**

### **COPYRIGHT**

© Copyright 1999 - 2008 MOST Cooperation. All rights reserved.

### **LICENSE DISCLAIMER**

Nothing on any MOST Cooperation Web Site, or in any MOST Cooperation document, must be construed as conferring any license under any of the MOST Cooperation or its members or any third party's intellectual property rights, whether by estoppel, implication, or otherwise.

### **CONTENT AND LIABILITY DISCLAIMER**

MOST Cooperation or its members must not be responsible for any errors or omissions contained at any MOST Cooperation Web Site, or in any MOST Cooperation document, and reserves the right to make changes without notice. Accordingly, all MOST Cooperation and third party information is provided "AS IS". In addition, MOST Cooperation or its members are not responsible for the content of any other Web Site linked to any MOST Cooperation Web Site. Links are provided as Internet navigation tools only.

MOST COOPERATION AND ITS MEMBERS DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES WITH REGARD TO THE INFORMATION (INCLUDING ANY SOFTWARE) PROVIDED, INCLUDING THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND NON-INFRINGEMENT. Some jurisdictions do not allow the exclusion of implied warranties, so the above exclusion may not apply to you.

In no event must MOST Cooperation or its members be liable for any damages whatsoever, and in particular MOST Cooperation or its members must not be liable for special, indirect, consequential, or incidental damages, or damages for lost profits, loss of revenue, or loss of use, arising out of or related to any MOST Cooperation Web Site, any MOST Cooperation document, or the information contained in it, whether such damages arise in contract, negligence, tort, under statute, in equity, at law or otherwise.

### **FEEDBACK INFORMATION**

Any information provided to MOST Cooperation in connection with any MOST Cooperation Web Site, or any MOST Cooperation document, must be provided by the submitter and received by MOST Cooperation on a non-confidential basis. MOST Cooperation must be free to use such information on an unrestricted basis.

### **TRADEMARKS**

MOST Cooperation and its members prohibit the unauthorized use of any of their trademarks. MOST Cooperation specifically prohibits the use of the MOST Cooperation LOGO unless the use is approved by the Steering Committee of MOST Cooperation.

### **SUPPORT AND FURTHER INFORMATION**

For more information on the MOST technology, please contact:

**MOST Cooperation**

Administration  
D-76185 Karlsruhe  
Germany

Tel: (+49) (0) 721 966 50 00

Fax: (+49) (0) 721 966 50 01

E-mail: [contact@mostcooperation.com](mailto:contact@mostcooperation.com)

Web: [www.mostcooperation.com](http://www.mostcooperation.com)



This Specification is Confidential Information of the MOST Cooperation. It may only be disclosed to member companies. Member companies wishing to discuss these Specifications with suppliers or other third parties must ensure that a commercially standard form of non-disclosure agreement has been previously executed by the party receiving such Specifications. Use of these Specifications may only be for purposes for which they are intended by the MOST Cooperation. Unauthorized use or disclosure is a violation of law.

© Copyright 1999 - 2008 MOST Cooperation  
All rights reserved

MOST is a registered trademark

Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	References .....	6
1.2	Scope.....	6
1.3	Definitions .....	7
<b>2</b>	<b>OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>TECHNICAL BASES .....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	UDS (Unified Diagnostic Services).....	9
3.2	TCP (Transmission Control Protocol).....	9
3.3	UDP (User Datagram Protocol) .....	9
3.4	MHP (MOST High Protocol) .....	9
3.5	AMS (Application Message Service) .....	9
<b>4</b>	<b>INITIAL SITUATION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Topology .....	10
4.2	Store-And-Forward Gateway versus Pass-Through Gateway .....	10
4.3	Address Resolution.....	11
4.4	Conditions for the Transport of Diagnostic Messages on MOST .....	11
<b>5</b>	<b>DEFINITIONS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1	Transport Protocols for Message Transfer .....	12
5.2	DAP (Diagnostic Adaptation Protocol).....	13
5.2.1	Mechanisms of the DAP .....	13
5.2.2	Structure of a DAP PDU .....	14
5.3	Application Interface Definitions .....	15
5.3.1	Interface Definition if MHP or AMS is used .....	15
5.3.2	Interface Definition if TCP or UDP is used .....	15
<b>6</b>	<b>APPLICATION OF DAP .....</b>	<b>16</b>
6.1	Segmentation of PDUs .....	16
6.1.1	Segmentation in MHP Packets.....	17
6.1.2	Segmentation in AMS Messages .....	17
6.1.3	Segmentation in TCP Packets .....	18
6.1.4	Segmentation in UDP Telegrams.....	18
6.2	Connection Handling .....	19
<b>7</b>	<b>APPENDIX A: INDEX OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>APPENDIX B: INDEX OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>22</b>

## Document History

Change Ref.	Section	Changes
1V0_001	General	Initial Version.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 References

All documents, which are referenced by this MOST document, are listed here along with their versions.

Document		Revision
[1]	IETF: Internet Protocol, RFC 791	
[2]	IETF: Transmission Control Protocol, RFC 793	
[3]	IETF: User Datagram Protocol, RFC 768	
[4]	ISO: ISO/DIS 14229-1 - Road vehicles -- Unified diagnostic services (UDS) -- Part 1: Specification and requirements	
[5]	ISO: ISO/DIS 15765-2, Road vehicles -- Diagnostics on CAN -- Part 2: Network layer services	
[6]	MOST Cooperation: MOST High Protocol Specification	2.3
[7]	MOST Cooperation: MOST Specification	3.0
[8]	FBlock Diagnosis Specification	3.0

*Table 1-1: Document references*

## 1.2 Scope

This document defines requirements for the adaptation of diagnostic protocols, e.g., UDS (Unified Diagnostic Services, [4]), to MOST [7].

## 1.3 Definitions

Term	Description
AIR	Average Interrupt Rate
AMS	Application Message Service
API	Application Programming Interface
CAN	Controller Area Network
CMS	Control Message Service
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check
DAP	Diagnostic Adaptation Protocol
DoIP	Diagnostics on TCP/IP over Ethernet
GW	Gateway
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IP	Internet Protocol
IPv4	IP Version 4
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
MHP	MOST High Protocol
MOST	Media Oriented Systems Transport
OBD	On-Board Diagnostics
PDU	Protocol Data Unit
RFC	Request For Comments
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
UDS	Unified Diagnostic Services

## 2 Overview

This document defines the usage of AMS (Application Message Service), MHP (MOST High Protocol) or TCP (Transmission Control Protocol) for transporting physically addressed diagnostic messages over the Packet Data Channel of MOST. Furthermore, it defines the usage of either AMS (Application Message Service) or UDP (User Datagram Protocol) for transmitting functionally addressed diagnostic messages on MOST.

The definition of an own diagnostic transport protocol—in the following called DAP (Diagnostic Adaptation Protocol)—is required in order to realize the adaptation from a diagnostic application protocol to the actual MOST transport and transmission protocols. This document defines necessary requirements while taking into account to keep DAP as simple as possible.

## **3 Technical Bases**

In this chapter, the services and communication protocols that are relevant for this document are described.

### **3.1 UDS (Unified Diagnostic Services)**

The UDS have been standardized by the ISO in [4]. This standard defines a diagnostic application layer protocol for the exchange of diagnostic data between vehicular devices and diagnostic test tools.

The definitions are independent on the used bus and communication system. The basic concept of this standard is that diagnostic services reside on devices. A diagnostic service can be used by transferring a request message from a diagnostic test tool to a device. In other words, the device contains several diagnostic servers, and the diagnostic test tool acts as client. After completion of the service execution, the device may send a response message back to the client.

The applicable ISO standards define two different addressing methods, called physical addressing [4] and functional addressing [4]. Physical addressing is used if there is a point-to-point communication between client and server. Functional addressing is used by the client if it does not know the physical server address or if a server is implemented in a distributed manner in several devices. In these cases, there is a broadcast communication between the client as sender and several servers as receivers.

### **3.2 TCP (Transmission Control Protocol)**

The TCP has been standardized by the IETF in [2]. It is a transport protocol of the Internet protocol suite that provides reliable, in-order delivery of a stream of bytes by using services of the Internet protocol [1].

### **3.3 UDP (User Datagram Protocol)**

The UDP has been standardized by the IETF in [3]. It allows the delivery of messages (datagrams) by using services of the Internet Protocol [1]. UDP does not guarantee reliability or message ordering.

### **3.4 MHP (MOST High Protocol)**

The MHP has been standardized by the MOST Cooperation in [6]. It is a connection-oriented protocol that enables a reliable data transport from a sender to a receiver via a MOST network. Actually the packet data channel of MOST can be used by MHP.

### **3.5 AMS (Application Message Service)**


The AMS is a service that provides the transmission of data via the Control Channel of MOST [7]. It can be used for broadcast communication.

## 4 Initial Situation

This chapter describes the topology and components which are the prerequisite for the applicability of the definitions made in this document. Furthermore, this chapter specifies the conditions for a proper transport protocol that is responsible for the delivery of diagnostic messages.

### 4.1 Topology

The topology depicted in Figure 4-1 is the background of the definitions in this document.



*Figure 4-1: Basic topology*

Diagnostic requests (e.g., UDS requests) are transferred from a diagnostic test tool via a GW (gateway) to the target devices that are connected on a MOST network and the diagnostic responses are transferred vice versa. The test tool is connected to a GW by a so called access link or access network. This link or network can be realized by one or more CANs or even by Fast Ethernet.

In case of an external test tool, the access network contains the vehicular OBD (On-Board Diagnostics) connector. The GW has to forward diagnostic requests via MOST to the devices. In the other direction, it has to forward diagnostic responses to the test tool via the access link or access network.

### 4.2 Store-And-Forward Gateway versus Pass-Through Gateway

A simple store-and-forward GW completely receives and reassembles a diagnostic message (request or response) before forwarding it. A CAN access link may use the transport protocol ISO 15765-2 [5]. In this case the GW has to reassemble all CAN frames to a diagnostic request, and afterwards it has to forward the whole request. In order to forward the request, it must segment it according to the used MOST transport protocol.

A pass-through GW directly forwards the received segments into the other network. The GW does not reassemble the segments to the diagnostic message and vice versa it does not divide the diagnostic message into segments.

In any case, one prerequisite of this specification is that the GW has to have end-points of the transport protocols of all connected networks. In the MOST network, the usage of ISO 15765-2 is not recommended. Rather, the DAP should be used in the MOST network.

## **4.3 Address Resolution**

Normally, in order to forward the diagnostic message into the MOST network, the GW has to resolve applicative diagnosis addresses to actual physical or logical MOST addresses. This specification assumes that the GW works with an applicable address resolution algorithm. It is not the focus of this specification to define such an algorithm.

## **4.4 Conditions for the Transport of Diagnostic Messages on MOST**

The protocols for transporting diagnostic messages on a MOST network have to provide mechanisms for segmenting diagnostic messages in appropriate packets/messages that are used for the actual transmission and vice versa the reassembly of them to diagnostic messages. Furthermore, they have to provide mechanisms that give the diagnostic application the ability to detect transport errors or even to avoid transport errors.

For functional addressing (broadcast), a suitable transport protocol has to provide a CRC on receiver side in order to give the receiver the ability to detect bit errors of the received diagnostic message.

For physical addressing (point-to-point), a suitable protocol has additionally to provide an acknowledgement mechanism in order to give the sender the ability to detect a successful reception. In case of no reception or an unsuccessful reception, a retry mechanism on sender side has to be provided. Moreover, a suitable protocol has to perform a flow control mechanism by controlling the transmission rate of the sender in order to avoid overloading a slow receiver.

## 5 Definitions

The following definitions consider the transport of diagnostic messages on MOST and the interface the device must offer.

### 5.1 Transport Protocols for Message Transfer

In principle, the Control Channel of MOST is convenient for the transport of diagnostic messages. However, if the transport of physically addressed diagnostic messages requires a high bandwidth, the Packet Data Channel of MOST must be used. For instance, the programming of a non-volatile device memory with a Fast Ethernet as access link has a high demand of bandwidth. In this case, the capacity of the Control Channel may not be sufficient.

#### Physical addressing

A MOST device can use either the Control Channel or the Packet Data Channel for exchanging physically addressed diagnostic messages.

Regarding chapter 4.4 and in case of physical addressing there are two relevant choices for the transport protocol on the Packet Data Channel. A MOST device has to use either MHP (MOST High Protocol) or TCP (Transmission Control Protocol) for exchanging physically addressed UDS messages over the Packet Data Channel.

Furthermore, a MOST device has to use AMS (Application Message Service) for exchanging physically addressed diagnostic messages over the Control Channel.

The AMS and MHP implementations generally use services of the MOST Network Service [7]. TCP uses services of the Internet protocol.

#### Functional addressing

Regarding chapter 4.4 and in case of functional addressing there are two relevant choices for the transport protocol: Either AMS (Application Message Service) over the Control Channel or UDP (User Datagram Protocol) over the Packet Data Channel are used for the exchange of functionally addressed diagnostic messages on MOST.

UDP uses services of the Internet protocol.

The responses to functionally addressed requests are sent using physical addressing.

**Note:** AMS supports so-called unblocking broadcast messages as well as blocking broadcast messages. This specification does not regulate which of those should be used in the case of functional addressing [4].

**Note:** Apart from the nominal transport channel capacity, there might be other limiting factors to the available bandwidth, for example, I/O interfaces; those, however, are outside the scope of this specification.

## 5.2 DAP (Diagnostic Adaptation Protocol)

There is the need for introducing the DAP in order to realize the adaptation from a diagnostic application protocol (e.g., UDS) to the actual MOST transport and transmission protocols. For instance, UDS does not provide explicit information for the receiver about the length of the message by its transmission. For TCP, MHP, AMS, and UDP the separation between two transmitted diagnostic messages on receiver side is generally unclear. A simple encapsulation of one diagnostic message in one TCP packet, MHP packet, AMS message or UDS datagram defines a clear message separation. This solution leads to unintended message length limitation. Therefore, an explicit information about the message length is introduced by DAP.

### 5.2.1 Mechanisms of the DAP

Diagnostic messages must be transported only by using the DAP that is precisely defined in this document.

Figure 5-1 shows the protocol stacks that realize a diagnostic message exchange as defined in this document.




Figure 5-1: Protocol Stacks

The DAP provides a service that takes data from a diagnostic application protocol entity and passes it with additional information to an appropriate MOST transport/transmission protocol entity for immediate transfer from sender to receiver.

Furthermore, the DAP provides a service that takes data from an appropriate MOST transport/transmission protocol entity and passes the received diagnostic application-related data to the corresponding diagnostic application protocol entity.

Each diagnostic message must be transferred from sender to receiver as payload of one DAP PDU.

## 5.2.2 Structure of a DAP PDU

A DAP PDU has the following structure:

Byte Order	Data Field	Len (Byte)	Value
1	Version of DAP Header	1	Version 1: 0x01 Reserved for future use: 0x00; 0x02 – 0xFF
2 – 5	Payload Length	4	n
6	Type of Service	1	System Integrator specific: 0x00 UDS: 0x01 KWP2000: 0x02 Reserved for future use: 0x03 – 0xBF System integrator specific: 0xC0 – 0xFF
7 - 8	System Integrator Part	2	Default: 0xFFFF
9 – 10	Source Address	2	e.g., Diagnostic test tool address Default: 0xFFFF
11 – (10+n)	Payload	n	

Table 5-1: Structure of a DAP PDU

The first data field identifies the version of the DAP header as 0x01. After the data field “Payload Length” there is the data field “Type of Service”. Beside the familiar applicative diagnostic services UDS and KWP2000, on this place a system integrator can appoint the assignment of this PDU to another diagnostic or even non-diagnostic application.

The data field “Source Address” can be used to identify the test tool that has sent a request. A server device has to copy the data of this field – that describes the applicative diagnostic address of the test tool according to section 4.1 - from a diagnostic request to the corresponding diagnostic response. By this a GW can assign and forward the incoming diagnostic response to the appropriate test tool. This can be necessary if more than one test tools exist (e.g., one onboard test tool and one external test tool).

The data field “System Integrator Part” is set to 0xFFFF by default. However, the data field can be used by the system integrator, e.g., in order to work with sequence numbers if needed. Alternatively it can be used for a 32-bit source addressing scheme. The “System Integrator Part” field can contain the source address’ higher 16 bits and the “Source Address” itself the lower 16 bits.

If a data field of a DAP PDU header contains a value that is reserved for future use, the DAP PDU has to be discarded by the receiver.

## 5.3 Application Interface Definitions

There is the need to assign received diagnostic messages to the proper diagnostic application of the device. By this view, DAP is part of this diagnostic application.

### 5.3.1 Interface Definition if MHP or AMS is used

For transmission of data from a sender to a receiver the same application protocol of MOST is effective for both, using AMS and using MHP:

`DeviceID.FBlockID.InstID.FktID.OPType (Data)`

For transmitting a diagnostic message by using MHP or AMS the FBlockID 0x06 that identifies the FBlock Diagnosis must be used.

For transmitting a diagnostic message by using MHP or AMS the function `Diagnosis.DiagMsg` must be used.

For transmitting a diagnostic request by using MHP or AMS the OPType Set must be used.

For transmitting a diagnostic response by using MHP or AMS the OPType Status must be used.

### 5.3.2 Interface Definition if TCP or UDP is used

The interface of all physically addressed diagnostic services of a device is given by the TCP service port 49152. This means that on this port a MOST device has to listen for requesting the establishment of the TCP connection by the GW.

On UDP port 49152 a MOST device has to listen for UDP datagrams that encapsulate functionally addressed diagnostic requests.

## 6 Application of DAP

The following sections contain DAP application examples.

### 6.1 Segmentation of PDUs

Figure 6-1 depicts PDU encapsulation examples in case of using MHP, AMS, TCP or UDP.




Figure 6-1: Encapsulation of a diagnostic message in one transport PDU

**Note:** In the figures, the term “MOST Packet” is used as a synonym for “Packet Data Message (16 bit addressing)” as defined in MOST Specification Rev. 3.0 [7].

A diagnostic message is encapsulated in one DAP PDU. This is further encapsulated in a MHP packet, an AMS message, a TCP packet or an UDP telegram.

Segmentation of DAP PDUs is needed if the length of the PDU of the subjacent layer that encapsulates the DAP PDU is limited. It is also needed if the gateway provides “Pass Through” functionality. In this case it inherently has to transmit segments of a diagnostic request as defined in this chapter.

### 6.1.1 Segmentation in MHP Packets

MHP provides a segmentation and reassembly functionality. By using it each DAP PDU can be transferred by one MHP packet as already depicted in Figure 6-1, and each DAP PDU segment can be transferred by an own MHP block. The SegID parameter of the 0-Frame labels the kind of segment (first segment, middle segment or last segment). When a DAP PDU segment is transferred by one MHP block, its length is limited to 65535 bytes.

Alternatively, the usage of a segmentation and reassembly functionality provided by DAP is possible. In this case, each DAP PDU segment is transferred by an own MHP packet as depicted in Figure 6-2.




Figure 6-2: Segmentation of one DAP PDU in multiple MHP packets

### 6.1.2 Segmentation in AMS Messages

Typically the length of a functionally addressed diagnostic message is only 7 bytes. Due to their shortness no segmentation and reassembly of functionally addressed diagnostic messages is needed. They can be simply encapsulated in only one AMS message.

Because the maximum length of an AMS message is 65535 bytes [7], the maximum length of a DAP payload is limited to 65525 bytes if AMS is used for exchanging functionally addressed diagnostic messages.

For the exchange of physically addressed diagnostic messages, an additional segmentation and reassembly functionality provided by DAP is required, if the DAP payload exceeds the length of 65525 bytes or if the GW works with "Pass Through" functionality.

### 6.1.3 Segmentation in TCP Packets

The TCP API (Application Programming interface) is stream-oriented. PDU encapsulations as depicted in Figure 6-3 are possible. A separation of DAP PDUs received in one data stream is possible by analysing the data field "Payload Length" of the DAP header.




Figure 6-3: Encapsulation examples by using TCP

### 6.1.4 Segmentation in UDP Telegrams

To simplify matters, a DAP PDU does not contain a CRC sum. Because UDP has an unreliable character, packet losses are principally possible. Therefore, for UDP the condition of Section 4.4 "there is to give the receiver the ability to detect bit errors of the received diagnostic message" can only be met if a functionally addressed diagnostic message is exchanged by only one UDP datagram (= UDP packet). In this case, the UDP datagram CRC sum can be utilized in order to detect bit errors of the PDU. It is still possible that an UDP datagram can contain more than one diagnostic message. Because the maximum length of an Ethernet frame payload is 1500 bytes, the maximum length of an UDP packet payload (encapsulated in an IPv4 packet) is 1476 bytes, and the maximum length of a DAP payload (= diagnostic message) is 1466 bytes if UDP is used.

## 6.2 Connection Handling

In case of MHP there is the following definition for the connection establishment and termination:

After start-up of the network and before or with the MHP transport of the first physically addressed diagnostic message from a sender device to a receiver device, a MHP connection must be established between them.

The connection is terminated by a sender, if its MHP timer  $t_{\text{Delay\_End}}$  (default: 6000 ms) expires. After any transmission this timer is reset. The exchange of appropriate MHP HOLD\_CONNECTION messages also leads to the reset of this timer. Therefore, a pause that lasts  $t_{\text{Delay\_End}}$  leads to a connection termination.

If there is already a connection between a sender device and a receiver device, it must be used if a transport of a diagnostic message is required.

Before or with the MHP transport of a physically addressed diagnostic message from a sender device to a receiver device and after a connection termination, a MHP connection must be established again.

The values of the AIR parameters for the MHP connection must be set during development of the system by the system integrator in order to achieve an optimized flow control.

In case of TCP there are the following definitions for the connection establishment and termination:

After start-up of the network and before the TCP transport of the first physically addressed diagnostic message from a sender device to a receiver device, a connection must be established.

Only this TCP connection must be used by these two devices to exchange physically addressed diagnostic messages (both, requests and responses).

This is possible, because a TCP connection is bidirectional.

Notes:

## 7 Appendix A: Index of Figures

Figure 4-1: Basic topology.....	10
Figure 5-1: Protocol Stacks .....	13
Figure 6-1: Encapsulation of a diagnostic message in one transport PDU.....	16
Figure 6-2: Segmentation of one DAP PDU in multiple MHP packets.....	17
Figure 6-3: Encapsulation examples by using TCP .....	18

## 8 Appendix B: Index of Tables

Table 1-1: Document references.....	6
Table 5-1: Structure of a DAP PDU.....	14